- 1				
1	JOHN C. CRUDEN, Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division			
2	United States Department of Justice	Im 23 = -		
i	RICHARD L. BEAL Environmental Enforcement Section	Jun 23 5 44 Files		
3	United States Department of Justice			
4	301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, CA 94105	U.S. 5197. 197.37 10.5197. 197.37		
5	(415) 744-6485	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6	JOHN A. MENDEZ United States Attorney	•		
7	PATRICK RAMIREZ S. BUPARA			
	Assistant United States Attorney Northern District of California			
8	450 Golden Gate Ave.			
9	San Francisco, CA 94102			
	(415) 556-1126			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of	f America		
11	EDWARD L. STROHBEHN JR.	•		
12	McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen Three Embarcadero Center			
	San Francisco, CA 94111			
13	(415) 393-2000			
14	Attorneys for Intel Corporation, et al.			
15	PAUL M. MINAULT			
16	Morthole & Zeppetello			
10	100 Broadway, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111	•		
17	(415) 986-0227			
18	Attorneys for Kim Camp III, et al.			
19 20	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIFF FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF			
_	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
21		Civil Action No.		
22	Plaintiff, v.) C 92 20664 JW		
23		Ó		
	INTEL CORPORATION, 3000 OAKMEAD))		
24	VILLAGE DRIVE LIMITED, MARK GATES, CHARLES EDWIN GATES, GKC LIMITED,) <u>Americant de</u> CREE		
25	EUGENIA L. CASHEN, KIM CAMP III,	Ó		
±.J	KIMBALL SMALL INVESTMENTS III and)		
26	WESTALL CORPORATION,			
	Defendants.	L		

I. BACKGROUND

11 🚶

WHEREAS, the United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended ("CERCLA"), against Intel Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Intel"), 3000 Oakmead Village Drive Limited and its general partners Mark T. Gates, Jr., Charles Edwin Gates and GKC Limited Partnership, and Eugenia Lee Cashen, who is a general partner of GKC Limited Partnership (hereinafter referred to jointly as "Oakmead") and Kim Camp III, Kimball Small Investments III and Westall Corporation (hereinafter referred to jointly as "Kim Camp");

WHEREAS, the United States in its complaint seeks reimbursement of response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA or any agency or entity on behalf of EPA for response actions in connection with the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics Superfund Site in Santa Clara, California;

WHEREAS, the United States alleges that hazardous substances, as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), are present at the Site, and that such hazardous substances have been or are threatened to be released into the environment from the Site;

WHEREAS, the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site has caused the United States to CONSENT DECREE - 2 -

incur response costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan and such costs will continue to be incurred;

WHEREAS, the United States and the Settling Defendants agree and this Court, by entering this Decree, finds that settlement of this matter will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest;

THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties to this Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, the Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District and shall not challenge the entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree is binding upon the United States and upon the Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of the Settling Defendants under this Consent

21 :

Decree. Until the time that Intel makes all payments to the United States pursuant to Paragraphs 4 and 7 through 9 of this Consent Decree, Intel agrees to provide to EPA, in accordance with Section X (Notices and Submissions) of this Consent Decree, notice of any change in corporate or legal status or transfer or assignment of a substantial portion of its assets. Until this Consent Decree is terminated, Kim Camp III agrees to provide its successors and assigns written notice of this Consent Decree and to provide to EPA, in accordance with Section X (Notices and Submissions) of this Decree, notice of any change in legal status or transfer or assignment of a substantial portion of its assets.

IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 3. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree the following definitions shall apply:
- a. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental
 Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42
 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seg.
 - b. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree.
 - c. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal Holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

- d. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection
 Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United
 States.
- e. "Escrow Account" shall mean the account maintained pursuant to this Consent Decree to fund payment of Oversight Costs.
- of this Consent Decree as of the date it is paid by EPA, or, if applicable, as of the date it is paid by the agency or entity administering CERCIA funds granted by EPA. If a cost was paid prior to August 1, 1991 (the cut-off date for Past Response Costs) but was not yet recorded against the relevant site-specific account number in EPA's accounting system, or, if applicable, the grantee agency's or entity's accounting system, the cost shall not be considered to have been incurred as of the July 31, 1991, cut-off date set forth in paragraph 3.k. below (definition of Past Response Cost") and shall be deemed to be an Oversight Cost which shall be reimbursed in accordance with Paragraph 5, below, provided, however, that the total of such costs to be reimbursed shall not exceed \$10,000 (ten thousand dollars).
- g. "Interest" shall mean interest from the date that payment is due to be made to the date of payment at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under subchapter A of chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

- h. "National Contingency Plan" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, including but not limited to any amendments thereto.
- i. "Oversight Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that EPA, the U.S. Department of Justice or any agency or entity on behalf of EPA incur in connection with any remedial actions undertaken by the Settling Defendants pursuant to:
 - (1) California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order Nos. 89-086 and 91-119 and any and all amendments thereto, or
 - (2) The Superfund Record of Decision: Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics, CA, No. R09-91/072, entered by EPA on August 26, 1991, and any and all amendments thereto, or
 - (3) Any other order or directive issued by the United States or any State or local regulatory agency or court, and any and all amendments to those orders or directives, that amend, modify, supplement, supersede or rescind the orders and Record of Decision referenced above,

(all of the above collectively Orders"), after the date set forth in the definition of Past Response Costs, for reviewing and developing plans, reports and other items, overseeing remedial

design or remedial actions undertaken pursuant to the Orders referenced above, or implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree or other enforcement related costs, including but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, costs of attorney time, costs of obtaining access to the Site, including any just compensation, any payments to the State through a cooperative agreement, and interest on all such costs.

- j. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral and/or a lower case letter.
- k. "Parties" shall mean the United States and each and every Settling Defendant.
- 1. "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA, the U.S.

 Department of Justice or any agency or entity on behalf of EPA have incurred in connection with the Site through July 31, 1991, including any costs granted to the State through a cooperative agreement for the Site.
- m. "Record of Decision" or "ROD" shall mean the record of decision relating to the Site signed on June 28, 1991 by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, and all attachments thereto.
- n. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.
- o. "Settling Defendants" shall mean Intel, Oakmead and Kim Camp.

p. "Site" shall mean the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics
Superfund Site, located between Kiefer Road and the Central
Expressway at 3000 Oakmead Village Drive and 2986 Oakmead Village
Court in Santa Clara, California.

- q. "State" shall mean the State of California and shall include, without limitation, the California State Water Resources Control Board and any Regional Water Quality Control Board that performs response actions in connection with the Site.
- r. "United States" shall mean the EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), and any other United States department, or agency or instrumentality acting on behalf of the EPA with respect to the Site.

V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

- 4. Payment of Past Response Costs to the United States.
- a. Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, Intel shall pay to the United States \$310,000 in Past Response Costs, which includes any and all payments of interest applicable to such Past Response Costs, except any interest payments which may become due pursuant to Paragraph 7. Payment shall be made by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT" or wire transfer) to the U.S. DOJ lockbox bank, referencing the CERCLA Number 9 H5 and the U.S.A.O. file number. Payment shall be made in accordance with instructions provided by the United States to Intel upon execution of the Consent Decree. EFTs must be received at the U.S. DOJ lockbox bank by 11:00 A.M. (Eastern Time) in order to be credited on that day. Confirmation of the EFT or wire transfer shall be sent

b. The United States agrees that Intel's complete payment under Paragraph 4.a. and Paragraphs 7 through 9 shall constitute a final and full resolution of Intel's and Oakmead's liability under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) for reimbursement of Past Response Costs, including Oversight Costs, incurred, or to be incurred in the future, by the United States, or by any agency or entity on behalf of EPA, at or in connection with the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics Superfund Site, including all interest that has accrued, or will accrue thereon. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 13 (Reservation of Rights), for the purpose of CERCLA, Intel's payment under this Consent Decree shall be deemed to have resolved its and Oakmead's liability to the United States for all claims for Past Response Costs and Oversight Costs related to the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics Superfund Site.

5. Payment of Oversight Costs.

- a. Kim Camp shall reimburse the United States for all Oversight Costs incurred after July 31, 1991, by the United States, or by any agency or entity on behalf of EPA at or in connection with the Site.
- b. <u>Payment</u>. The United States will send, at least once annually, Kim Camp a bill requiring payment in the form of a standard EPA cost summary itemizing Oversight Costs for the billing period. Kim Camp shall make all payments within 30 days

of Kim Camp's receipt of each bill requiring payment. Kim Camp shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the form of a certified or cashier's check or checks, to be issued by the escrow company administering the Escrow Account provided for in part d. of this Paragraph, made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and referencing CERCLA Number 9 H5 and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-848. The escrow company administering the Escrow Account shall forward the certified or cashier's check(s) to EPA Region IX, ATTN: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360863M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251, and shall send copies of the check(s) to the United States as specified in Section X (Notices and Submissions).

- c. <u>Interest</u>. Defendant Kim Camp shall pay interest on Oversight Costs in accordance with Paragraph 3.g. If payment is not made by Kim Camp within 30 days of the receipt of the bill, interest shall accrue beginning on the 31st day and shall accrue as provided in paragraph 7.
- d. Escrow Account. Kim Camp has previously established an interest-bearing Escrow Account at Santa Clara Land Title for the purpose of paying response costs relating to the Site. Kim Camp presently has the approximate sum of \$350,000 in that Escrow Account. Oversight Costs billed by the United States in this civil action will be paid from that Escrow Account which will be maintained in part for the express purpose of paying such Oversight Costs. If at any time the balance in the Escrow Account is reduced to less than \$75,000, Kim Camp shall deposit

sufficient funds to bring the balance back to \$75,000, within thirty days of receipt of a statement from the Escrow Company reflecting such reduced amount. A copy of any periodic Escrow Account statement from the escrow company administering the Escrow Account shall be sent to EPA in accordance with Section X (Notices and Submissions). Kim Camp shall have the right to demonstrate that an amount less than the \$75,000 required to be maintained in the Escrow Account pursuant to this Paragraph is sufficient to pay for all outstanding and projected Oversight Cost required for implementation of this Consent Decree and other response costs relating to the Site. Accordingly, at any time Kim Camp may submit in writing to EPA a request to reduce the required amount in the Escrow Account. The United States and Kim Camp shall have 30 days from the date of EPA's receipt of Kim Camp's request to reach agreement on the appropriate amount to be held in the account. If an agreement is not reached within 30 days and any agreed upon extensions, Kim Camp may request a determination by EPA's Hazardous Waste Management Division Director. The Director shall make a determination within a reasonable time after the receipt of Kim Camp's request.

- e. <u>Documentation of Oversight Costs</u>. Upon request, EPA shall provide Kim Camp with reasonable documentation evidencing the incurrence of Oversight Costs included in a billing.
 - 6. Dispute Resolution for Oversight Costs.
- a. Kim Camp reserves the right to demonstrate, and has the burden of demonstrating, that EPA's cost summary contains

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

c. If an agreement is not reached within the specified time period, including extensions, Kim Camp may request a determination by EPA's Hazardous Waste Management Division Director. Kim Camp shall pay the costs owed pursuant to EPA's decision with 14 days after the date of the decision. Kim Camp's payment shall include Interest on the amount due calculated in accord with Paragraphs 5.c. and 3.g.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

Kim Camp shall not, by reason of this Consent Decree, have any right to judicial review not otherwise provided by law.

FAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS

- 7. Interest on Late Payments. In the event that any Past Response Costs payments required by Paragraph 4 (Payment of Past Response Costs to the United States) are not made when due, interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under Subchapter A of Chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U. S. Code, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) and be payable by Intel. In the event that any Oversight Costs payments required by Section V (Reimbursement of Response Costs) are not made when due, interest shall continue to accrue on the unpaid balance at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under Subchapter A of Chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U. S. Code, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and be payable by Kim Camp.
- Stipulated Penalty. If any amounts due to the United States under this Consent Decree are not paid by the required date, the Settling Defendant who is responsible for the payment, shall pay, as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the Interest required by Paragraph 7, one half of one percent (0.5%) of the demanded amount per day that such payment is late. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within 30 days of the Settling Defendant's receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties. All payments under this paragraph from any Settling

- 9. If the United States brings or defends a proceeding to collect the Past Response Costs payment required by this Consent Decree, Intel shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
- 10. If the United States brings or defends a proceeding to collect any payment for Oversight Costs required by this Consent Decree, Kim Camp shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
- 11. Payments made under Paragraphs 7 through 10 shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to the United States by virtue of a Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments required by this Consent Decree.

VII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

12. Covenant Not to Sue. In consideration of the payments that will be made by the Settling Defendants under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided by Paragraph 13, the United States covenants not to sue Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, to recover Past Response Costs and Oversight Costs as defined under this Consent Decree. This covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns, and does not extend to any other person. This covenant not to sue shall take effect with respect to Intel and Oakmead upon receipt

by the United States of all payments required to be made by Intel by Paragraphs 4 and 7 through 9 of this Consent Decree. This covenant not to sue shall take effect with respect to Kim Camp upon receipt by the United States of all payments, other than those specified in the sentence above to be made by Intel, required to be made by Kim Camp under Paragraphs 5 and 7 through 10 of this Consent Decree.

13. Reservation of Rights

- a. <u>General</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth in the preceding paragraph does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified therein. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all other matters. Except as provided in the preceding paragraph, nothing contained herein shall in any way limit or restrict the response and enforcement authority of the United States to initiate appropriate action, either judicial or administrative, under Sections 104, 106, and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, and 9607, or any other provision of law, against Settling Defendants or against any other person or entity not a party to this Consent Decree.
- b. <u>Specific reservations</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth in Paragraph 12 above does not apply, <u>inter alia</u>, to the following:
 - (1) claims based upon failure of Settling Defendants to meet the requirements of this Consent Decree;

- (3) claims for costs incurred by any natural resources trustees;
- (4) claims based upon criminal liability;
- (5) claims for response costs incurred by any federal agencies other than those specified within the definition of "United States" in this Consent Decree;
- (6) claims for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606;
- (7) claims for costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States in connection with the Site that are not within the definition of Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs set forth in Paragraph 3.
- c. Reservations by Settling Defendants. Settling

 Defendants reserve all rights they may have to oppose and defend

 against claims and actions set froth in Paragraphs 13.a. and b.

 above, and to assert any and all claims, crossclaims and

 counterclaims they may have against the United States, or any

 agency or entity administering CERCLA funds granted by EPA,

 except as described in Paragraph 14 below.

VIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

14. Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for

reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b))2), 9611, 9612 or 9613, or any other provision of law; any claim against the United States, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States pursuant to CERCLA Sections 107 and 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613, related to the Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

IX. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 15. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each of the Parties may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.
- 16. With regard to claims for contribution against Settling Defendants for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the Parties hereto agree that the Settling Defendants are entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).
 - 17. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding

initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section VII (Covenants Not to Sue by the United States) and Section VIII (Covenants by Settling Defendants).

X. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

18. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

As to the United States:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044

Re: 90-11-2-848

As to EPA:

James C. Hanson
Hazardous Waste Management Division
Mail Code H-6-3
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Joannne Marchetta, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Mail Code RC-3-4

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to Settling Defendants:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

10 Edward L. Strohbehn Jr.
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
11 Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
12 (415) 493-2000
for Intel and Oakmead

Paul M. Minault
Morthole & Zeppetello
100 Broadway, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 986-0227
For Kim Camp

XI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

19. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

XII. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>

20. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Section Chief for the Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of

this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

21. Each Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name and address of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree.

so ordered this 23 day of feve, 19_.

United States District Judge

matter of United States v. Intel Corporation, et al., C 92 20664 1 JW relating to the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics Superfund Site. 2 FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 3 6.18.93 4 Date: 5 6 Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources 7 Division U.S. Department of Justice 8 Washington, D.C. 20530 9 10 Date: 6-22 - 93 RICHARD L. BEAL 11 Trial Attorney Environmental Enforcement Section 12 Environment and Natural Resources Division 13 U.S. Department of Justice 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 14 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415)744-6485 15 16 17 Date: 6-14-93 18 Moirector, Hazardous Waste Management Division 19 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 20 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco CA 94105 21 22 23 MARTHA L. BLACK Assistant Regional Counsel 24 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 25 75 Hawthorne Street

- 21 -

26

CONSENT DECREE

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the

	FOR INTEL CORPORATION
rl.0/0.	al al
Date: <u>3//4/93</u>	- Aumor O light
LEGAL OK	THOMAS L. HOGUE
54. 1091	Vice President Corporate Materials & Services
416/93/4/16 ferms	Intel Corporation
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF	
NAME <u>John R. Mastermar</u> ADDRESS 1900 Prairie Ci	tu Bood FMA OC
Folsom, CA 956	30
	FOR 300 OAKMEAD VILLAGE DRIVE LIMITE
Date:	MADY TO CATTE TO
Date:	MARK T. GATES, JR. General Partner
Date:AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAMEADDRESS	General Partner F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF	General Partner F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAMEADDRESS	General Partner F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAMEADDRESS	General Partner F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAMEADDRESS	General Partner F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME	General Partner F PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME	General Partner F PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS	General Partner PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS	General Partner PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME	General Partner F PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS CHARLES EDWIN GATES, JR. F PROCESS
AGENT FOR SERVICE OF NAME ADDRESS Date: AGENT FOR SERVICE OF	General Partner F PROCESS MARK T. GATES, JR. F PROCESS CHARLES EDWIN GATES, JR. F PROCESS

CONSENT DECREE

matter	of United Stat	ES enter into this Consent Decree in the es v. Intel Corporation, et al. relating el Magnetics Superfund Site.
		FOR INTEL CORPORATION
Date:		THOMAS L. HOGUE
		Vice President Corporate Materials & Services Intel Corporation
AGENT NAME	FOR SERVICE OF	PROCESS
ADDRES	S	
		FOR 3000 OAKMEAD VILLAGE DRIVE LIM
Date:	5-21-93	Mark T. GATES, JR. General Partner
NAME _ADDRES	FOR SERVICE OF Mark T. Gates, J S 700 Emerson S Palo Alto, CA 9	Jr. Street
Date:	5-21-93	Mark T. GATES, JR
NAME _	FOR SERVICE OF Mark T. Gates, 3 700 Emerson S	Jr. Street
Date:	7 23-48	CHARLES EDWIN GATES, 7.
NAME _	FOR SERVICE OF Mark T. Gates, S S 700 Emerson S	Jr. Street
	Palo Alto, CA	94301

FOR GKC LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

11		FOR GRC DIMITED PARTNERSHIP		
Dat	e: <u>5-13-93</u>	EUGENIA L. CASHEN General Partner		
NAM	AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS NAME Mark T. Gates, Jr. ADDRESS 700 Emerson Street Palo Alto, CA 94301			
Dat	e: <u>5-23-43</u>	Eugenia L. Cashen		
NAM	ENT FOR SERVICE OF P ME Mark T. Gates, J DRESS 700 Emerson St Palo Alto, CA 9	rreet		
		,		
	•			

CONSENT DECREE

26

- 23 -

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Intel Corporation, et al. relating to the Micro Storage/Intel Magnetics Superfund Site.	
2 3	FOR KIM CAMP NO. III, a California General Partnership	
4	By: WESTALL CORPORATION, a California Corporation, a	
5	General Partner	
6		
7	Date: S-18-13 By: KIMBALL W. SMALL	-
8	President By: KIMBALL SMALL INVESTMENTS,	
9	By: KIMBALL SMALL INVESTMENTS, III, a California Limited Partnership, a General Partner	
10	By: WESTALL CORPORATION, a	
11	California Corporation, Sole General Partner	
12	Date: S - 18-93	
13 14	By: KIMBALL W. SMALL President	-
15 16	FOR KIMBALL SMALL INVESTMENTS, III, a California Limited Partnership	
17	By: WESTALL CORPORATION, a	
18	California Corporation, Sole General Partner	
19	Date: 5-19-93 By:	
20	KIMBALL W. SMALL President	
21	FOR WESTALL CORPORATION, a California	Į.
22	Corporation	
23	Date: S-18.93 By: KIMBALL W. SMALL	
24	President	
25		
26	CONSENT DECREE - 24 -	

AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS for Kim Camp III, Kimball Small Investments III and Westall Corporation NAME Steve Belomy ADDRESS 50 West San Fernando, Suite 320 San Jose, CA 95113